.h .e, e (e]. •]••] ____] ____] ____, (2 . %), (•]• •]....] •] •] •],• •] •], ...] .] ...]], · · · · · 1] 2 ·] . · •]• • •]] ... • • • •] ... • ...] • •].]. •] •] 10,],],], . . •]]...].12. %..1,13 · • •• 1] 20 •] · · • ·]· ·]] • ·]. •],],. 13-1 .

]
 ...
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 ...
]
 <td

 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 30 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 30 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 &$

 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .

Methods

03

Ethical considerations

Results

Participants' characteristics

	. 1, 2 .	, .] .]],]	3
(• • •]• 2.	%) 🖡].•	• •
],], • •	•] •]_•• .	••]]••••	. .]

]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]
]

Socio-demographic variables	Frequency of overall participants (%)	Mean ± SD		Frequency (Female)	Mean ± SD		Frequency (Male)	Mean ± SD	
	N (483)	(0-5)	р	N (226)	(0-5)	р	N(257)	(0-5)	р
Age									
18-25	363	3.10 (1.54)	NS	169	3.21 (1.50)	NS	194	2.99 (1.59)	NS
26-35	120	3.13 (1.59)		57	3.24 (1.29)		63	3.02 (1.50)	
Gender									
Male	257	3.02 (1.60)	0.03	-	-		-	_	
Female	226	3.28 (1.48)		_	-		_	_	
Ethnicity									
Malay	403	3.14 (1.56)	NS	189	3.29 (1.40)	NS	214	2.99 (1.49)	NS
Non-Malay	80	3.07 (1.48)		37	3.11 (1.52)		43	3.02 (1.52)	
Religion									
Muslim	409	3.12 (1.54)	NS	192	3.28 (1.56)	NS	217	2.97 (1.62)	NS
Non-Muslim	74	3.09 (1.49)		34	3.15 (1.45)		40	3.03 (1.50)	
Level of education *									
Primary school	192	3.08 (1.57)	NS	97	3.27 (1.51)	NS	95	2.89 (1.59)	NS
secondary school	281	3.17 (1.54)		129	3.26 (1.48)		152	3.09 (1.61)	
Average monthly house	ehold income								
<2000	351	3.12 (1.57)	NS	164	3.22 (1.57)	NS	187	3.02 (1.54)	NS
≥2000	132	3.01 (1.51)			62	3.10 (1.26)		70	2.92 (1.63)
* 2 2	483	3 .							

Table 4 Socio-demographic characteristics: differences in mean total contraceptive knowledge score of all participants, female participants and male participants

Discussion

 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1$ · · ·] ...] •. ...] ... • .] ...]]]. _p. . .]. . • • • • • • • • _ •] _~ • .]_____2000] ... • • • • •

] • • 10 - 10 - • 10 - ··· •].].•]. _] _i• . 1

.• 2,30,31, . . , .1]. 1 ···] · ···] · ··· •••• • ..]] •] • 30-32_r. . • -. .],]. • • • • • •]••,•, •, •, •,]}, ~, . • ... -. · · · · · ·] · · ·]] • • -]]]]],•],•],•,•••.

. . . الم و بو الم الم الم الم الم الم الم الم الم • ... • ...] . • • . • ... • ... • ... • ... • •] •]• * L. • •]•] · •] · • • • ••••].].•] • .•• 1,1 - _ [• L] _ -•] • م المراجع (المراجع الم الحريج (المراجع الم الم] _

Authors' details